

CSED-321

Featherweight Java

POSTECH

박성우

Featherweight Java

- Core calculus for Java
 - retains all the core features of Java
 - no side effects
- Consists of:
 - syntax
 - typing rules
 - reduction rules
- Every Featherweight Java program is a valid Java program
- You will implement Featherweight Java in *Assignment #7*.

Program = Collection of class declarations + an expression to evaluate

- Every class must specify its supertype (superclass).
 - except the top-most **Object** class
- Constructor:
 - one argument for each field
 - `super()` should be called in the beginning.
- Method body:
 - **return <expression>;**
- Field access must specify the receiver.
 - Ex. **this.snd**

```
class A extends Object {
    A() { super(); }
}

class B extends Object {
    B() { super(); }
}

class Pair extends Object {
    Object fst;
    Object snd;
    Pair(Object fst, Object snd) {
        super(); this.fst = fst; this.snd = snd;
    }

    Pair setfst(Object newfst) {
        return new Pair(newfst, this.snd);
    }
}
```

Evaluation

**new Pair(new A(), new B())
.setfst (new B())**



new Pair(new B(), new B())

```
class A extends Object {
    A() { super(); }
}

class B extends Object {
    B() { super(); }
}

class Pair extends Object {
    Object fst;
    Object snd;
    Pair(Object fst, Object snd) {
        super(); this.fst = fst; this.snd = snd;
    }

    Pair setfst(Object newfst) {
        return new Pair(newfst, this.snd);
    }
}
```

Five forms of expressions in Featherweight Java

- #1. Object constructor
- #2. Method invocation
 - **new Pair(new A(), new B())**
.setfst (new B())
- #3. Field access
 - **new Pair(newfst, this.snd)**
- #4. Variables
 - **new Pair(newfst, this.snd)**
- #5. Cast

```
class A extends Object {
    A() { super(); }
}

class B extends Object {
    B() { super(); }
}

class Pair extends Object {
    Object fst;
    Object snd;
    Pair(Object fst, Object snd) {
        super(); this.fst = fst; this.snd = snd;
    }

    Pair setfst(Object newfst) {
        return new Pair(newfst, this.snd);
    }
}
```

#5. Cast

- Example

```
((Pair)new Pair(new Pair(new A(), new B()), new A()).fst).snd
```

- `new Pair(new Pair(new A(), new B()), new A()).fst` has type `Object`.
- Hence it should be cast to `Pair`.
- At runtime, we check whether `new Pair(new Pair(new A(), new B()), new A()).fst` can have type `Pair`.

Small-step reduction rules

- Evaluation can be described within the syntax of Featherweight Java.
 - because of no side effects
 - similarly to lambda-calculus
- Non-deterministic evaluation:
 - The order of evaluation does not affect the final result.
- Three kinds of reduction rules
 - #1. Field access
 - #2. Method invocation
 - #3. Cast

#1. Field access - Example

`new Pair(new A(), new B()).snd → new B()`

```
class A extends Object {  
  A() { super(); }  
}
```

```
class B extends Object {  
  B() { super(); }  
}
```

```
class Pair extends Object {  
  Object fst;  
  Object snd;  
  Pair(Object fst, Object snd) {  
    super(); this.fst = fst; this.snd = snd;  
  }  
  Pair setfst(Object newfst) {  
    return new Pair(newfst, this.snd);  
  }  
}
```

#2. Method invocation - Example

```
new Pair(new A(), new B()).setfst(new B())  
→ [      new B()/newfst,      ] new Pair(newfst, this.snd)  
≡ new Pair(new B(), new Pair(new A(), new B()).snd)
```

```
class A extends Object {  
    A() { super(); }  
}
```

```
class B extends Object {  
    B() { super(); }  
}
```

```
class Pair extends Object {  
    Object fst;  
    Object snd;  
    Pair(Object fst, Object snd) {  
        super(); this.fst = fst; this.snd = snd;  
    }  
    Pair setfst(Object newfst) {  
        return new Pair(newfst, this.snd);  
    }  
}
```

#3. Cast - Example (where the evaluation does not get stuck)

`(Pair)new Pair(new A(), new B()) → new Pair(new A(), new B())`

```
class A extends Object {  
    A() { super(); }  
}
```

```
class B extends Object {  
    B() { super(); }  
}
```

```
class Pair extends Object {  
    Object fst;  
    Object snd;  
    Pair(Object fst, Object snd) {  
        super(); this.fst = fst; this.snd = snd;  
    }  
    Pair setfst(Object newfst) {  
        return new Pair(newfst, this.snd);  
    }  
}
```

Three kinds of casts: (C) e

- #1. Upcast
 - e is a subclass of **C**
- #2. Downcast
 - e is a superclass of **C**
- #3. Stupid cast
 - e is unrelated to **C**
- Difference from Java
 - Java rejects expressions containing stupid casts.
 - Featherweight Java does NOT reject such expressions.

(A) (Object) new B() → (A) new B()

Example of evaluation

```
((Pair) new Pair(new Pair(new A(), new B()), new A()).fst).snd  
→ ((Pair) new Pair(new A(), new B())) .snd  
→ new Pair(new A(), new B()).snd  
→ new B()
```

```
class A extends Object {  
  A() { super(); }  
}
```

```
class B extends Object {  
  B() { super(); }  
}
```

```
class Pair extends Object {  
  Object fst;  
  Object snd;  
  Pair(Object fst, Object snd) {  
    super(); this.fst = fst; this.snd = snd;  
  }  
  Pair setfst(Object newfst) {  
    return new Pair(newfst, this.snd);  
  }  
}
```

Three cases of evaluation getting stuck

- #1. Attempt to access a field not declared for the class
 - → never happens in well-typed programs
- #2. Attempt for invoke a method not declared for the class
 - → never happens in well-typed programs
- #3. Attempt to cast to a wrong class
 - → never happens in well-typed programs with :
 - **no downcasts**
 - **no stupid casts**

Type soundness

- If:
 - an expression e reduces to expression e'
 - e is well-typed
- Then:
 - e' is also well-typed
 - type of e' = a subtype of the type of e

- Q. What is the difference from type safety in simply-typed lambda-calculus?

Definition of Featherweight Jaava

Syntax

```
CL ::= class C extends C { $\bar{C}$   $\bar{f}$ ; K  $\bar{M}$ }
```

```
K ::= C( $\bar{C}$   $\bar{f}$ ) {super( $\bar{f}$ ); this. $\bar{f}$  =  $\bar{f}$ ;}  
M ::= C m( $\bar{C}$   $\bar{x}$ ) {return e;}  
e ::= x  
    | e.f  
    | e.m( $\bar{e}$ )  
    | new C( $\bar{e}$ )  
    | (C)e
```

Subtyping

$$C \leq C$$
$$\frac{C \leq D \quad D \leq E}{C \leq E}$$
$$\frac{CT(C) = \text{class } C \text{ extends } D \{ \dots \}}{C \leq D}$$

Computation - Reduction

$$\frac{\mathit{fields}(C) = \bar{C} \ \bar{f}}{(\mathit{new} \ C(\bar{e})) . f_i \longrightarrow e_i} \quad (\text{R-FIELD})$$

$$\frac{\mathit{mbody}(m, C) = (\bar{x}, e_0)}{(\mathit{new} \ C(\bar{e})) . m(\bar{d}) \longrightarrow [\bar{d}/\bar{x}, \mathit{new} \ C(\bar{e})/\mathit{this}]e_0} \quad (\text{R-INVK})$$

$$\frac{C <: D}{(D) (\mathit{new} \ C(\bar{e})) \longrightarrow \mathit{new} \ C(\bar{e})} \quad (\text{R-CAST})$$

Computation - Congruence

$$\frac{e_0 \longrightarrow e_0'}{e_0.f \longrightarrow e_0'.f} \quad (\text{RC-FIELD})$$

$$\frac{e_0 \longrightarrow e_0'}{e_0.m(\bar{e}) \longrightarrow e_0'.m(\bar{e})} \quad (\text{RC-INVK-RECV})$$

$$\frac{e_i \longrightarrow e_i'}{e_0.m(\dots, e_i, \dots) \longrightarrow e_0.m(\dots, e_i', \dots)} \quad (\text{RC-INVK-ARG})$$

$$\frac{e_i \longrightarrow e_i'}{\text{new } C(\dots, e_i, \dots) \longrightarrow \text{new } C(\dots, e_i', \dots)} \quad (\text{RC-NEW-ARG})$$

$$\frac{e_0 \longrightarrow e_0'}{(C)e_0 \longrightarrow (C)e_0'} \quad (\text{RC-CAST})$$

Expression Typing

$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma(\mathbf{x}) \quad (\text{T-VAR})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_0 \in C_0 \quad \mathit{fields}(C_0) = \bar{C} \ \bar{f}}{\Gamma \vdash e_0.f_i \in C_i} \quad (\text{T-FIELD})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_0 \in C_0 \quad \mathit{mtype}(m, C_0) = \bar{D} \rightarrow C \quad \Gamma \vdash \bar{e} \in \bar{C} \quad \bar{C} \prec: \bar{D}}{\Gamma \vdash e_0.m(\bar{e}) \in C} \quad (\text{T-INVK})$$

$$\frac{\mathit{fields}(C) = \bar{D} \ \bar{f} \quad \Gamma \vdash \bar{e} \in \bar{C} \quad \bar{C} \prec: \bar{D}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{new} \ C(\bar{e}) \in C} \quad (\text{T-NEW})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_0 \in D \quad D \prec: C}{\Gamma \vdash (C)e_0 \in C} \quad (\text{T-UCAST})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_0 \in D \quad C \prec: D \quad C \neq D}{\Gamma \vdash (C)e_0 \in C} \quad (\text{T-DCAST})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_0 \in D \quad C \not\prec: D \quad D \not\prec: C \quad \mathit{stupid\ warning}}{\Gamma \vdash (C)e_0 \in C} \quad (\text{T-SCAST})$$

Method typing and Class typing

Method typing:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \bar{x} : \bar{C}, \text{this} : C \vdash e_0 \in E_0 \quad E_0 \leq C_0 \\ CT(C) = \text{class } C \text{ extends } D \{ \dots \} \\ \text{override}(m, D, \bar{C} \rightarrow C_0) \end{array}}{C_0 \ m \ (\bar{C} \ \bar{x}) \ \{\text{return } e_0;\} \ \text{OK IN } C} \quad (\text{T-METHOD})$$

Class typing:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} K = C(\bar{D} \ \bar{g}, \bar{C} \ \bar{f}) \ \{\text{super}(\bar{g}); \text{this}.\bar{f} = \bar{f};\} \\ \text{fields}(D) = \bar{D} \ \bar{g} \quad \bar{M} \ \text{OK IN } C \end{array}}{\text{class } C \ \text{extends } D \ \{\bar{C} \ \bar{f}; \ K \ \bar{M}\} \ \text{OK}} \quad (\text{T-CLASS})$$

Auxiliary functions

Method body lookup:

$$\frac{CT(C) = \text{class } C \text{ extends } D \{ \bar{C} \bar{f}; K \bar{M} \} \\ B \ m \ (\bar{B} \ \bar{x}) \ \{ \text{return } e; \} \in \bar{M}}{mbody(m, C) = (\bar{x}, e)}$$

$$\frac{CT(C) = \text{class } C \text{ extends } D \{ \bar{C} \bar{f}; K \bar{M} \} \\ m \text{ is not defined in } \bar{M}}{mbody(m, C) = mbody(m, D)}$$

Valid method overriding:

$$\frac{mtype(m, D) = \bar{D} \rightarrow D_0, \text{ implies } \bar{C} = \bar{D} \text{ and } C_0 = D_0}{override(m, D, \bar{C} \rightarrow C_0)}$$